

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
 2 A Limited Liability Partnership
 3 Including Professional Corporations
 JOY SIU, Nevada Bar No. 15095
 4 1584 Villa Rica Drive
 Henderson, NV 89052
 Telephone: 415.774.3108
 5 E mail: jsiu@sheppardmullin.com

6 PATRICIA M. JENG, Cal. Bar No. 272262
(Pro Hac Vice)
 7 SAMI HASAN, Cal. Bar No. 272333
(Pro Hac Vice)
 8 SHAYLA M. GRIFFIN, Cal. Bar No. 339821
(Pro Hac Vice)
 9 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
 10 San Francisco, California 94111-4109
 Telephone: 415.434.9100
 11 E mail: pjeng@sheppardmullin.com
 shasan@sheppardmullin.com
 smgriffin@sheppardmullin.com

12
 13 Attorneys for Defendant
 REGIS CORPORATION

14
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16
 17 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

18 ANGELETTA PAIGE, an individual, on
 behalf of herself and all others similarly
 19 situated,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 ARCNV, INC. d/b/a ARCCO
 ENTERPRISES; REGIS
 23 CORPORATION d/b/a
 SMARTSTYLES HAIR SALONS and
 DOES 1-50, inclusive,

24 Defendants.

25 Case Number
 2:25-cv-00954- CDS-DJA

26
**JOINT MOTION FOR ORDER TO
 EXTEND DEFENDANT REGIS
 CORPORATION'S DEADLINE TO
 RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
 COMPLAINT and [PROPOSED]
 ORDER**

27
(SECOND REQUEST)

28 Judge: Hon. Cristina D. Silva

Judge: Hon. Cristina D. Silva

Complaint Filed: May 30, 2025

1 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A) and Local Rule 7-1(c), Plaintiff Angeletta Paige
 2 (“Plaintiff”) by and through her counsel of record, RAFII & ASSOCIATES, P.C., and Defendant
 3 REGIS CORPORATION d/b/a SMARTSTYLES HAIR SALONS (“Defendant” or “Regis”) by and
 4 through its counsel of record, SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP jointly move
 5 this Court for an Order that Defendant shall have an extension up to and including **November 10,**
 6 **2025**, in which to file a response to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint. This Joint Motion is
 7 submitted and based on the following.

8 **WHEREAS**, on or about May 30, 2025, Plaintiff filed her original Complaint.

9 **WHEREAS**, on or about July 8, 2025, Regis timely answered Plaintiff’s Complaint.

10 **WHEREAS**, on or about September 22, 2025, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint to
 11 include additional claims against Regis;

12 **WHEREAS**, counsel for Regis and Plaintiff have met and conferred, and have agreed to
 13 continue to meet and confer, regarding whether Regis is an appropriately named defendant and
 14 employer of Plaintiff;

15 **WHEREAS**, counsel for Regis and Plaintiff have continued to have discussions regarding
 16 whether Regis is an appropriately named defendant and employer of Plaintiff;

17 **WHEREAS**, in order to allow the Parties to continue their discussions regarding a potential
 18 dismissal of Regis, the Parties have agreed to extend the deadline for Regis to respond to Plaintiff’s
 19 First Amended Complaint to November 10, 2025;

20 **WHEREAS**, this is the second request by the parties to extend the time for Regis to respond to
 21 Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint;

22 **WHEREAS**, the Parties believe these circumstances constitute good cause for granting an
 23 extension.

24 **NOW THEREFORE THE PARTIES JOINTLY MOVE FOR AN ORDER** for Defendant
 25 Regis Corporation to file an answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint by
 26 **November 10, 2025.**

27
 28

1 Dated: October 22, 2025

2 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

3
4 By _____ /s/ *Patricia M. Jeng*
5 JOY SIU
6 PATRICIA M. JENG
7 (Pro Hac Vice)
8 SAMI HASAN
9 (Pro Hac Vice)
10 SHAYLA M. GRIFFIN
11 (Pro Hac Vice)

12 Attorneys for Defendant
13 REGIS CORPORATION

14 Dated: October 22, 2025

15 By _____ /s/ *Rachel Mariner*
16 Rachel Mariner
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 ANGELETTA PAIGE

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**
2
3

4 THE COURT GRANTS the Joint Motion to Extend Defendant Regis Corporation's Deadline
5 to Respond to First Amended Complaint to November 10, 2025.
6]
7

8 IT IS SO ORDERED
9

10 
11 _____
12 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

10 DATED: October 24, 2025